

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/072,869	Applicant(s) SASAKI ET AL.	
	Examiner Robert Sellers	Art Unit 1712	

All Participants:

(1) Robert Sellers.

(2) L. Raul Tamayo.

Date of Interview: 24 May 2004

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:
None

Claims discussed:
1-21

Prior art documents discussed:
None

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: After Final rejection

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The amendment after Final rejection mailed May 10, 2004 has not been entered due to the mislabelling of some claims with "previously amended" instead of the proper "previously presented." The attached Examiner's amendment corrects the labelling. Furthermore, a one-month extension of time has been authorized to legitimize the Examiner's amendment..